United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Tennessee at Chattanooga

United States of America)
v. JAMES RONALD GRIFFITH) Case No. 1:09-cr-123-0015
Defendant)
DETENTION OR	DER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the B require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
Part I—F	indings of Fact
$\hfill\Box$ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense describe	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \square a federal offense \square a state or local off	ense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C for which the prison term is 10 years or me	. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) ore.
☐ an offense for which the maximum senten	ce is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison te	rm of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C	l been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses), or comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence l	out involves:
□ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or d	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon
□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	2250
\Box (2) The offense described in finding (1) was commended federal, state release or local offense.	nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
\Box (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed sin	ce the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in finding	g (1).
	ole presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternati	ve Findings (A)
\checkmark (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense
✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten y	ears or more is prescribed in $\frac{21 \text{ USC } \$\$ 846, 841(a)(1)}{(b)(1)(A), (b)(1)(C), (c)(2)}$.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Tennessee at Chattanooga

	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
√ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption the defendant's appearance and the safety of	tion established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure f the community.
	Altern	native Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	ill not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	ill endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II Statemer	nt of the Reasons for Detention
I		nitted at the detention hearing establishes by \checkmark clear and
convinci	ing evidence	dence that
evidence presump committ of defen in the ind related a danger t	the that defendant is a risk of flight. Defendant is a risk of flight. Defendant is under 18 U.S.C. 3142(e). The returned the offense is sufficient to trigger the product and shown by proof, defendant's lactivities and attempts to hide and/or flee, to the community and a risk of non-appear.	a danger to the community and by the preponderance of the fendant is indicted for offenses which trigger the rebuttable arn of the indictment finding probable cause that defendant presumption. The pretrial services report contains a summary cord of defendant, the continuing nature of the offenses alleged ong term drug abuse, the evidence concerning defendant's gunand the rebuttable presumption, I conclude the defendant is a carance and that there are no conditions or combinations of the safety of any other person and the community. Defendant cations Regarding Detention
in a correpending order of V	The defendant is committed to the custody of trections facility separate, to the extent practical appeal. The defendant must be afforded a real	the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinementable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody asonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On by for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility
Date:	10/23/2009	s/ Susan K, Lee
		Judge's Signature

Susan K. Lee, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title